UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,491	03/04/2005	Hitoshi Setsuda	2005_0355A	8005
	7590 07/28/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREE	T N. W.	HINES, ANNE M		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/526,491	SETSUDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ANNE M. HINES	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ster than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3.   The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a)   They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b)   They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c)   They are not deemed to place the application in better  appeal; and/or  (d)   They present additional claims without canceling a content of the properties.	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); eer form for appeal by materially rec	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 <sup>o</sup> 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co						
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ul>	will not be entered, or b)  wil	•	-				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The proposed amenment requires further search and con	nsideration.	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Sikha Roy/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2879					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Specifically, the proposed amendment to independent claim 2 now requires that the laminate consist of to listed components, whereas before it only required that the laminate comprise the listed components. Furthermore, the Examiner notes that the proposed amendment to claim 2 adds the requirement of "and optionally a removable protection film covering a surface of said laminate, said surface being on the other side of said removable support film"; Applicant is advised that this proposed claim language will not be given patentable weight when the claim is compared to prior art inventions because the limitation is 'optional'. The Examiner further notes that the proposed amendment would overcome the objection to the claims.